



# 2010 Statewide Transportation Planning Framework

Arizona Department of Transportation

<http://www.bqaz.gov>

## FINAL REPORT

March 2010



# Acknowledgments

The Statewide Transportation Planning Framework Program was made possible by the cooperative efforts of the following individuals and organizations who contributed significantly to the successful completion of the project:

## State Transportation Board

Delbert Householder, Chairman  
Robert Montoya, Vice Chairman

Stephen Christy, Member  
William Feldmeier, Member

Victor Flores, Member  
Joseph Lane, Previous Member  
Barbara Ann Lundstrom, Member  
Felipe Zubia, Member  
Si Schorr, Previous Member

## Framework Policy Committee

Felipe Zubia, State Transportation Board, Committee Co-Chair  
Peggy Neely, MAG Regional Council Chair, City of Phoenix  
Councilwoman, Committee Co-Chair  
James Cavanaugh, Former MAG Regional Council Chair, Mayor of  
Goodyear, Former Committee Co-Chair

Roc Arnett, East Valley Partnership  
Lisa Atkins, Military Affairs Commission  
Delia Carlyle, Chairwoman, Ak-Chin Indian Community  
Karen Cooper, Flagstaff City Council  
Joe Donaldson, Mayor of Flagstaff  
Tom Dorn, Arizona Planning Association, Arizona Chapter  
Karen Fann, Mayor of Chino Valley  
Mike Flannery, Prescott Valley City Council  
Dave French, Kingman City Council  
Ron Green, Mayor of Safford  
John Halikowski, Arizona Department of Transportation  
Larry Hecker, Hecker & Muehlebach, PLLC  
Bob Hollis, Federal Highway Administration  
Ed Honea, Mayor of Marana  
Andy Laurenzi, Sonoran Institute  
Cheryl Lombard, The Nature Conservancy

Paul Loomis, Mayor of Oro Valley  
Barbara Ann Lundstrom, State Transportation Board  
Jack Lunsford, WESTMARC  
Mary Manross, Mayor of Scottsdale  
David Martin, Associated General Contractors  
Victor Mendez, Arizona Department of Transportation  
Paul Miller, Arizona Transit Association  
Robert Montoya, State Transportation Board  
Rick Mueller, Mayor Pro Term of Sierra Vista  
Garrett Newland, Arizona Association for Economic Development  
Corbin Newman, U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region  
Kenneth Poocha, Arizona Commission of Indian Affairs  
Casey Prochaska, Yuma County Supervisor  
Tom Rankin, Mayor of Florence  
Marco Reyes, Jr., Yuma County Supervisor  
Lionel Ruiz, Pinal County Supervisor  
Matt Ryan, Coconino County Supervisor  
Marty Schultz, Arizona Public Service  
Thomas White, Jr., Apache County Supervisor  
Mark Winkleman, Arizona State Land Department  
John Wright, Arizona Education Association  
Elaine Zielinski, Bureau of Land Management

## Framework Management Committee

John Halikowski, Director, Arizona Department of Transportation,  
Committee Co-Chair  
Victor Mendez, Former Director, Arizona Department of  
Transportation, Former Committee Co-Chair  
Dennis Smith, Executive Director, Maricopa Association of  
Governments, Committee Co-Chair

Brian Babiars, Western Arizona Council of Governments  
Maxine Brown, Central Arizona Association of Governments  
Richard Gaar, SouthEastern Arizona Governments Organization  
Gary Hayes, Pima Association of Governments

Larry Hecker, Hecker & Muehlebach, PLLC  
Maxine Leather, Central Arizona Association of Governments  
Mack Luckie, Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization  
Jack Lunsford, WESTMARC  
David Martin, Arizona Public Service  
Victor Mendez, Arizona Department of Transportation  
Jodi Rooney, Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization  
Dennis Smith, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Kenneth Sweet, Northern Arizona Council of Governments  
David Wessel, Flagstaff Metropolitan Planning Organization

# Acknowledgments

## Regional Advisory Team

Eric Anderson, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Nate Banks, Federal Highway Administration  
Dave Barber, Western Arizona Council of Governments  
Cherie Campbell, Pima Association of Governments  
Chris Fetzer, Northern Arizona Council of Governments  
Martin Ince, Flagstaff Metropolitan Planning Organization

Bill Leister, Central Arizona Association of Governments  
Linda McFarland, Yuma Association of Governments  
Sharon Mitchell, SouthEastern Arizona Governments Organization  
Jodi Rooney, Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization  
Gordon Taylor, Arizona State Land Department

## ADOT Project Team

John Halikowski, Director  
Jennifer Toth, Director, Multimodal Planning Division (ADOT-MPD)  
Jim Zumpf, ADOT-MPD Project Manager  
Sally Stewart, Communication and Community Partnerships  
(ADOT-CCP) Project Manager

Bob Albano, ADOT-CCP  
Julian Avila, ADOT-CCP  
Michele Beggs, ADOT-CCP  
Arnold Burnham, ADOT-MPD Regional Team Liaison  
Matt Carpenter, ADOT-MPD  
Russell Chase, ADOT-CCP  
Laura Douglas, ADOT-CCP  
Dan Dudzick, ADOT-CCP  
Ryan Harding, ADOT-CCP

Mark Hoffman, ADOT-MPD  
Dianne Kresich, ADOT-MPD  
Mike Normand, ADOT-MPD  
Bill Pederson, ADOT-CCP  
Rudy Perez, ADOT-MPD  
Patricia Powers-Zermeno, ADOT-CCP  
Linda Ritter, ADOT-CCP  
Shannon Scutari, ADOT-MPD  
Lucy Shipp, ADOT-CCP  
Don Sneed, MPD  
Tim Tait, ADOT-CCP  
Teresa Welborn, ADOT-CCP  
Bill Williams, ADOT-CCP  
Rod Wigman, ADOT-CCP

## Management Consultant Team

John McNamara, Project Director, AECOM  
Laurel Parker, Project Manager, AECOM

Bill Boothe, AECOM  
Larry Gibson, AECOM  
Deanna Huelskamp, AECOM  
Michael Kies, AECOM  
Adam Miller, AECOM  
Jaclyn Pfeiffer, AECOM  
Vijayant Rajvanshi, AECOM  
Ethan Rauch, AECOM

Anita Richardson, AECOM  
Mansi Sachdev, AECOM  
Jim Charlier, Charlier Associates, Inc.  
Jennifer Valentine, Charlier Associates, Inc.  
Jim Barry, Curtis Lueck & Associates  
Curtis Lueck, Curtis Lueck & Associates  
Brent Cain, HDR, Inc.  
Michael Gorton, HDR, Inc.  
Kristin Bornstein, KDA Creative  
Amy Rosar, KDA Creative  
Peggy Fiandaca, Partners for Strategic Action, Inc.

# Acknowledgments

---

## Regional Framework Teams

### Central Regional Framework Team:

- Technical Consultant: Kimley-Horn Associates, Inc.
- Dave Perkins, Consultant Project Manager
  - Dianne Kresich, ADOT Regional Team Liaison
  - Ethan Rauch, AECOM, Management Consultant Liaison

- Public Involvement Consultant: HDR, Inc.
- Rob Antoniak, Project Manager

### Eastern Regional Framework Team:

- Technical Consultant: URS Corporation
- Aaron Iverson, Consultant Project Manager
  - Rick Ens Dorf, Past Consultant Project Manager
  - Jim Zumpf, ADOT Regional Team Liaison
  - Laurel Parker, AECOM, Management Consultant Liaison

- Public Involvement Consultant: Gordley Design Group
- Jan Gordley, Project Manager

### Northern Regional Framework Team:

- Technical Consultant: HDR, Inc.
- Brent Cain, Consultant Project Manager
  - Jim Zumpf, ADOT Regional Team Liaison
  - Brent Cain, HDR, Inc., Management Consultant Liaison

- Public Involvement Consultant: HDR, Inc.
- Heather Honsberger, Project Manager

### Western Regional Framework Team:

- Technical Consultant: Parsons Brinckerhoff (PB)
- Scott Omer, Consultant Project Manager
  - Arnold Burnham, ADOT Regional Team Liaison
  - Bill Boothe, AECOM, Management Consultant Liaison

- Public Involvement Consultant: Logan Simpson Design Inc.
- Diane Colebank-Simpson, Project Manager



# Abbreviations

AA	Alternatives Analysis	HOT	High-Occupancy Toll
AAC	Arizona Administrative Code	HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials	HURF	Highway User Revenue Fund
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	IRR	Indian Reservation Roads
ADOT	Arizona Department of Transportation	JPAC	Joint Planning Advisory Council
ASU	Arizona State University	ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
AGFD	Arizona Game and Fish Department	LEED-ND	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design for Neighborhood Development
AZTDM	Arizona Travel Demand Model	L RTP	Long Range Transportation Plan
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs	L TAF	Local Transportation Assistance Fund
BLM	Bureau of Land Management	MAG	Maricopa Association of Governments
BNSF	BNSF Railway	MCDOT	Maricopa County Department of Transportation
bqAZ	Building a Quality Arizona	MPD	Multimodal Planning Division
CAA	Clean Air Act	MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
CAAG	Central Arizona Association of Governments	MSAT	Mobile Source Air Toxics
CBP	Customs and Border Protection	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
CCP	Communication and Community Partnerships	NACOG	Northern Arizona Council of Governments
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
CREATE	Chicago Region Environmental and Transportation Efficiency Program	NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
COG	Council of Governments	NHS	National Highway System
CSI	Container Security Initiative	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
CSS	Context Sensitive Solutions	O&M	Operations and Maintenance
C-TPAT	Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism	PAG	Pima Association of Governments
CYMPO	Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization	POE	Port of Entry
DE	District Engineer	PPP	Public-Private Partnerships
DOT	Department of Transportation	RAT	Regional Advisory Team
EC	Existing-plus-Committed	RNCA	Riparian National Conservation Area
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement	RPTA	Regional Public Transportation Authority
EO	Environmental Overview	RTAT	Regional Technical Advisory Team or Rail Technical Advisory Team
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	SBI	Secure Border Initiative
FMC	Framework Management Committee	SEAGO	SouthEastern Arizona Governments Organization
FMPO	Flagstaff Metropolitan Planning Organization	SIP	State Implementation Plan
FPC	Framework Policy Committee	SRTS	Safe Routes to School
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration	STAZ	State Traffic Analysis Zone
FTA	Federal Transit Administration	STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
GANS	Grant Anticipation Notes	STP	Surface Transportation Program
GARVEES	Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles	SWAP	State Wildlife Action Plan
GIS	Geographic Information Systems	SWSPT	Southwest Sketch Planning Tool
GPS	Global Positioning Systems	TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone
		TDM	Travel Demand Management

# Abbreviations

---

TI	Traffic Interchange
TIFIA	Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TSM	Transportation Systems Management
ULI	Urban Land Institute
UP	Union Pacific Railroad
USC	United States Code
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
VHT	Vehicle Hours of Travel
VLT	Vehicle License Tax
VMT	Vehicle Miles of Travel
WACOG	Western Arizona Council of Governments
YMPO	Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization

# Table of Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Background.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Overview of Process.....	1
1.2	Supporting Sustainable Land Use and Smart Growth.....	3
1.3	Other Innovations in the bqAZ Planning Process.....	4
1.4	Tribal Outreach.....	4
1.5	Related Planning Efforts.....	5
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Statewide Vision and Guiding Principles.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Vision for Arizona Transportation in 2050.....	7
2.2	Guiding Principles.....	7
2.2.1	Improve Mobility and Accessibility.....	7
2.2.2	Support Economic Growth.....	7
2.2.3	Promote a Development Pattern that Links Land Use and Transportation.....	8
2.2.4	Consider Arizona’s Environment and Natural Resources.....	8
2.2.5	Ensure Safety and Security.....	8
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Long-Range Trends, Challenges, and Opportunities.....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Population Growth and Vehicular Travel.....	9
3.2	Land Development Trends and Transportation Demand.....	11
3.3	Energy Independence.....	13
3.4	Cost of Travel and Economic Growth.....	14
3.5	Climate Change.....	14
3.6	Air Quality.....	15
3.7	Natural Resources.....	16
3.8	Federal Transportation Policy.....	16
3.9	Statewide Transportation Framework Outcomes Summary.....	16
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Organizational Context.....</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	bqAZ Technical and Public Involvement Teams.....	19
4.2	Statewide Framework Steering and Oversight Committees.....	19
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Existing and Future Conditions.....</b>	<b>21</b>
5.1	Land Ownership and Use.....	21
5.2	Population and Employment.....	23
5.3	Transportation.....	24
5.3.1	Existing Roadway System.....	24
5.3.2	Arizona-Sonora Ports of Entry.....	27
5.3.3	Existing Public Transportation.....	27
5.3.4	Freight Modes and Flows.....	27

# Table of Contents

5.3.5	Existing Railroad Network.....	28
5.3.6	Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation.....	28
5.3.7	Airports.....	28
5.3.8	Programmed and Planned Roadway and Transit Improvements.....	28
5.4	Environmental Overview.....	29
5.4.1	Geology and Topography.....	29
5.4.2	Hydrological Resources and Issues.....	29
5.4.3	Natural Infrastructure.....	34
5.4.4	Cultural Resources.....	37
5.4.5	Air Quality.....	39
5.4.6	Hazardous Materials.....	41
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Framework Planning Process and Results.....</b>	<b>43</b>
6.1	Preliminary Critical Needs Definition.....	43
6.1.1	Delineation of Identified 2030 Improvement Needs.....	43
6.1.2	Summary of Identified 2030 Improvement Needs and Cost.....	44
6.2	Regional Framework Studies.....	47
6.2.1	Four Regional Frameworks.....	47
6.2.2	Focus Areas.....	47
6.2.3	Organization of Regional Framework Study Teams.....	48
6.2.4	Community and Stakeholder Involvement Opportunities.....	48
6.2.5	Regional Framework Reports.....	52
6.3	Long-Range Planning Scenarios.....	52
6.3.1	Common Elements.....	52
6.3.2	Three Distinct Long-Range Transportation Scenarios.....	52
6.3.3	Sources of Projects for Long-Range Regional Scenarios.....	54
6.3.4	Regional Scenarios A, B, and C.....	55
6.3.5	Areas Outside the Regional Frameworks: Maricopa and Pima Counties, and MAG Hidden Valley Study Area.....	55
6.4	Statewide Travel Demand Modeling.....	55
6.4.1	Population and Employment Projections.....	55
6.4.2	External Traffic Growth.....	57
6.4.3	External Station Growth Estimates.....	63
6.4.4	Highway Assignment.....	63
6.4.5	Existing-plus-Committed Highway Assignment.....	63
6.4.6	Analysis of Performance.....	66

# Table of Contents

6.5	Amalgamation of Regional Scenarios into Statewide Scenarios.....	66
6.5.1	Amalgamation of Regional Scenarios A, B, and C.....	66
6.5.2	MAG Long-Range Roadway and Transit System.....	67
6.5.3	PAG Long-Range Roadway and Transit System.....	67
6.5.4	Consultation with Neighboring States.....	68
6.5.5	Final Statewide Scenarios.....	69
6.6	Evaluation of Scenarios.....	69
6.7	Statewide Outreach.....	76
6.7.1	Common Interest Workshops.....	76
6.7.2	Elected Official Consultations.....	82
6.7.3	Miscellaneous Presentations.....	82
6.8	Recommended Statewide Scenario.....	82
6.8.1	Description.....	82
6.8.2	Analysis of Modeling Results and Comparison with other Scenarios.....	85
6.8.3	Conclusion.....	96
6.8.4	Issues for Further Consideration.....	96
6.9	Wildlife Corridors, Green Connectivity, and Avoiding Habitat Fragmentation.....	97
6.9.1	AGFD.....	97
6.9.2	The Nature Conservancy.....	98
6.9.3	Implementation.....	98
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Statewide Framework Implementation.....</b>	<b>101</b>
7.1	Transportation Funding.....	101
7.1.1	Basic Sources of Transportation Revenue.....	101
7.1.2	Direct User Taxes and Fees.....	101
7.1.3	Indirect Taxes and Fees.....	104
7.1.4	Some Basics on Federal and State Highway Revenue.....	105
7.1.5	Tribal Transportation Funding.....	107
7.1.6	Transit Funding.....	108
7.1.7	Smart Growth Funding Opportunities.....	108
7.1.8	Comparison of Arizona Transportation Revenue with National Data.....	109
7.1.9	Transportation Funding Source Options.....	110
7.1.10	Funding Policy Considerations and Strategies for Discussion.....	113
7.1.11	Public-Private Partnerships in Arizona.....	114
7.1.12	Highlights of HB 239.....	114
7.1.13	Opportunities and Limitations.....	115

# Table of Contents

---

7.2	Related State Planning Issues.....	117
7.2.1	Access Management.....	117
7.2.2	Airport Access.....	117
7.2.3	Border Master Planning.....	118
7.2.4	Complete Streets.....	118
7.2.5	Context-Sensitive Solutions.....	119
7.2.6	Emergency Evacuation.....	120
7.2.7	Homeland Security.....	121
7.2.8	LEED for Neighborhood Development.....	121
7.2.9	Light Pollution.....	122
7.2.10	Multimodal Freight Transportation Planning.....	122
7.2.11	Regional Planning.....	123
7.2.12	Potable Water Resources.....	123
7.2.13	Safe Routes to School (SRTS).....	124
7.2.14	Statewide Travel Demand Model: Future Development and Use.....	124
7.2.15	Travel Demand Management and Transportation Systems Management.....	124
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Summary of Statewide Rail Framework Study.....</b>	<b>127</b>
8.1	The Case for Statewide Rail System Development.....	127
8.2	Overview of Arizona’s Rail Network.....	127
8.2.1	Freight Rail.....	127
8.2.2	Passenger Rail.....	129
8.2.3	Anticipated Network Growth.....	129
8.2.4	ADOT’s Role in Rail Planning.....	131
8.3	Rail Framework Coordination and Collaboration.....	131
8.4	Strategic Opportunities.....	131
8.4.1	Passenger Rail Strategic Opportunities.....	131
8.4.2	Freight Rail Strategic Opportunities.....	133
8.5	Implementation Pursuits and Actions.....	136
8.5.1	Passenger Rail.....	136
8.5.2	Freight Rail.....	137
8.5.3	Rail Organization/Governance.....	137
8.5.4	Implementation Action Timeframes.....	138
<b>9.0</b>	<b>Final Rollout of the Statewide Framework.....</b>	<b>141</b>
9.1	Guiding Principle Displays.....	141
9.1.1	Economic Vitality.....	141

# Table of Contents

---

9.1.2	Sustainability and the Environment.....	142
9.2.3	Safety and Security.....	143
9.2	Graffiti Wall Dialogue.....	145
9.2.1	Tucson.....	145
9.2.2	Flagstaff.....	145
9.2.3	Mesa.....	145
9.2.4	All Locations.....	146
9.3	Video Dialogue.....	146
9.3.1	Tucson.....	146
9.3.2	Flagstaff.....	147
9.3.3	Mesa.....	147
<b>10.0</b>	<b>Next Steps.....</b>	<b>149</b>
10.1	Long Range Transportation Plan.....	149
10.2	State Rail Plan.....	149

# List of Figures

Figure 1	COG/MPO and ADOT District Boundaries.....	2
Figure 2	Overview of Planning Process.....	3
Figure 3	ADOT Planning Process Evolution.....	4
Figure 4	Arizona Population Growth: 1960-2007.....	9
Figure 5	Arizona Vehicular Travel Growth: 1960-2007.....	9
Figure 6	Population Growth Rate by County.....	10
Figure 7	Distribution of Statewide Population Growth.....	10
Figure 8	Changes in Phoenix Urban Area: 1982-2007.....	10
Figure 9	Changes in Tucson Urban Area: 1982-2007.....	10
Figure 10	Southwestern States Comparison: 2005 Annual VMT per Resident.....	12
Figure 11	Southwestern States Comparison: 2005 Annual Motor Fuel Use per Resident.....	13
Figure 12	Arizona Greenhouse Gas Emission Forecasts and Goals.....	15
Figure 13	Emerging Megapolitan Regions of the U.S.....	17
Figure 14	Land Ownership and Management.....	22
Figure 15	Arizona Land Ownership/Management (Percent).....	23
Figure 16	Specially Designated Roadways.....	26
Figure 17	Physiographic Provinces.....	30
Figure 18	Slope Analysis.....	31
Figure 19	Water Resources.....	33
Figure 20	Biotic Communities.....	36
Figure 21	Arizona Wildlife Linkages.....	38
Figure 22	Framework Regions and Focus Areas.....	49
Figure 23	Arizona Population and Employment Density.....	58
Figure 24	Existing-plus-Committed Roadway Network Lanes.....	59
Figure 25	Existing-plus-Committed Roadway Functional Classification.....	60
Figure 26	2030 Southwest Region Population Density.....	61
Figure 27	2050 Southwest Region Population Density.....	62
Figure 28	International Border Improvements.....	70
Figure 29	Statewide Scenario A: Personal Vehicle Mobility.....	71
Figure 30	Statewide Scenario B: Transit Mobility.....	72
Figure 31	Statewide Scenario C: Focused Growth.....	73
Figure 32	Statewide Refined Scenarios Evaluation Summary–Year 2050.....	76
Figure 33	Recommended Statewide 2050 Transportation Framework Scenario.....	84
Figure 34	2050 Recommended Scenario–Roadway Features.....	86
Figure 35	2050 Recommended Scenario–Transit Features.....	87

# List of Figures

---

Figure 36 2050 Recommended Scenario–Rail Features.....88

Figure 37 2030 Existing-plus-committed Traffic Conditions.....90

Figure 38 2050 Existing-plus-committed Traffic Conditions.....91

Figure 39 2050 Scenario A Traffic Conditions.....92

Figure 40 2050 Scenario B Traffic Conditions.....93

Figure 41 2050 Scenario C Traffic Conditions.....94

Figure 42 2050 Recommended Scenario Traffic Conditions.....95

Figure 43 Sources of HURF Collections, FY 1990 to 2008.....110

Figure 44 Major Types of Public-Private Partnerships.....115

Figure 45 Potential Benefits and Risks of Public-Private Partnership Approaches.....116

Figure 46 Existing Arizona Railroads.....128

Figure 47 Existing and Potential Passenger Rail Options.....130

Figure 48 Value-Added Manufacturing.....142

Figure 49 ADOT Planning Process Evolution.....149

# List of Tables

Table 1	Arizona COGs and MPOs.....	1
Table 2	Previous bqAZ Long-Range Visioning and Planning Studies.....	5
Table 3	Arizona Land Area by Ownership/Management.....	21
Table 4	Population and Employment Estimates by County, 2005-2050.....	23
Table 5	Arizona Roadway Miles and VMT, Year 2000.....	24
Table 6	Arizona Roadway Miles by Jurisdiction and Area Type, Year 2000.....	25
Table 7	Biotic Communities.....	35
Table 8	Air Quality Non-Attainment and Maintenance Areas.....	40
Table 9	Representative Projects and Programs from Critical Needs.....	45
Table 10	COG/MPOs and Transportation Framework Regions.....	47
Table 11	Regional RTAT Meetings.....	50
Table 12	Round 1 and Round 2 Regional Community Workshops.....	51
Table 13	Standard Outline of Working Papers 2 and 3.....	53
Table 14	Proposed Transportation Improvement Types by Region and Scenario.....	56
Table 15	SWSPT Population Growth Projections.....	57
Table 16	AZTDM External Station Traffic Volume Estimates.....	64
Table 17	AZTDM Non-Auto Trip Mode Shares by Place Type, 2030 and 2050.....	65
Table 18	AZTDM Non-Auto Trip Mode Shares by Location.....	65
Table 19	Guiding Principles, Goals, Evaluation Criteria, and How Measured.....	74
Table 20	Statewide Framework Evaluation, Year 2050.....	77
Table 21	Highlights of Recommended Scenario by Region.....	83
Table 22	Changes to Recommended Scenario Due to Elected Official Consultations.....	85
Table 23	Centerline Miles by Functional Classification.....	89
Table 24	Cut-Line Summary by Scenario.....	89
Table 25	Model Performance Measures by Scenario.....	96
Table 26	TNC Classification of Effects and Recommended Actions.....	99
Table 27	Transportation Revenue Overview.....	102
Table 28	Federal Highway User Taxes and Allocations.....	105
Table 29	Federal Highway Account Program Categories.....	106
Table 30	HURF Allocation Formulas.....	106
Table 31	Examples of Smart Growth Transportation Funding Programs.....	108
Table 32	Sources of Arizona HURF (FY 2008).....	109
Table 33	NCHRP Transportation Revenue Options.....	111
Table 34	Transportation Revenue Options Requiring State Approval.....	112
Table 35	Transportation Innovative Financing Overview.....	113
Table 36	Rail Implementation Action Timeframes.....	138